

Minutes of the
Bayfield County Board of Supervisors' Meeting
Of September 30, 2008 - 6:00 p.m.
Bayfield County Board Room, Courthouse, Washburn, Wisconsin

The monthly meeting of the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors was called to order by Chairman Kacvinsky at 6:03 p.m. Roll call was taken by Bayfield County Clerk, Scott Fibert as follows: *Williams-present; Bichanich-present; Miller-present; Crandall-present; Rondeau-present; Good-present; Gordon-present; Jardine-present; Maki-present; Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-present; Kacvinsky-present; Beeksma-present. Total: 13, 12 present, 1 absent, a quorum was present to conduct business. The motion carried.* Others present were: County Administrator, Mark Abeles-Allison; County Clerk, Scott Fibert; Deputy County Clerk, Dawn M. Bellile; Elizabeth Skulan, Human Services Director; Karl Kastrosky, Zoning Administrator; Elizabeth Skulan, Human Services Director; Carrie Linder, Aging & Disability Services Manager; Mary Motiff, Tourism Director; Rick Olivo, Reporter for the Daily Press and citizens of the surrounding communities.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by all in attendance.

1. **Motion Regarding Minutes of August 26, 2008 Bayfield County Board of Supervisors' Meeting.** *A motion was made by Gordon/Blahnik to approve the minutes of the August 26, 2008 Bayfield county Board of Supervisors' Meeting. The motion carried.*

2. **Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-41, Honoring Robert (Bob) Janigo, Bayfield County Fair Volunteer of the Year-2008.** Chairman Kacvinsky read the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors recognizes the value of dedicated volunteers;

WHEREAS, Robert (Bob) Janigo has provided the Bayfield County Fair with many years of commitment and dedication;

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Fair Committee has determined that Robert (Bob) Janigo reflects and promotes a positive image of the Bayfield County Fair;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors assembled this 30th day of September, 2008 honors: Robert (Bob) Janigo, as Fair Volunteer of the Year-2008, for his dedication and service to the residents of Bayfield County, the Bayfield County Fair and the Bayfield County Fair Committee.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

Supervisor Beeksma stated that Janigo has basically taken the grandstand programs from virtually nothing to an outstanding fair. It has been a pleasure to work with him and we wouldn't know what we would do without him. He has put in hundreds of hours with no pay. Thank you very much Bob!

A motion was made by Gordon/Bichanich to adopt Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-41, Honoring Robert (Bob) Janigo Bayfield County Fair Volunteer of the Year-2008. The motion carried. Janigo spoke on how he first started through IR Fire Department and then by attending some of the fair open meetings. At one meeting discussion took place on how they were going to contract out for services as they couldn't afford it, he spoke up and said, "I can do that." That's all she wrote, and I am still here! He said thank you to all of the people who have both helped in the past and who are still helping. He appreciates the recognition very much and will go on from here.

3. **Public Comment:** Chairman Kacvinsky stated to the public that they will be allowed to speak; however, a 3 minute timeline will be put on each speaker which will be enforced.

1) Barb Hoekstra, 120 S 6th Street, Bayfield, WI

Hoekstra presently owns the Bayfield Quilt Company. It has come to her attention via e-mail that Bayfield County is producing a Visitor's Guide and anyone who is part of Bayfield County's Chambers of Commerce will receive a free advertising list. She stated that because she does not belong to the Bayfield Chamber of Commerce, however, belongs to the Ashland Chamber of Commerce, and it being the case that it is not in Bayfield County, will have to pay for any advertising in the Visitor's Guide. She wants to know why you can give a one person a free listing and charge another. She is a Bayfield County taxpayer and feels this is wrong. A legal opinion was sought by the Bayfield County Tourism Committee, however, she is unhappy with what Bayfield County's legal counsel has stated and would like a copy any documentation that was produced regarding any legal opinion. She stated she would like this matter to return to the Tourism Committee or the full County Board.

2) Stewart Holman, Washburn, WI. Holman thanked the County Board to allow him to talk about handicapped accessibility regarding the courthouse building. Holman is here this evening representing North Country Independent Living. He stated that access to the courthouse building has been improved and is more accessible than many other surrounding courthouses. Holman stated that under the ADRC and family care, you will be working with people with a disability that you either can or cannot see. The County needs to take a holistic approach on with what changes would really help and went on to name a few. He also called attention to the fact that the Human Services Department is located in the basement and an area needs to be designated where people can be brought in case of an emergency where they will be safe until help can arrive. Then you need to consider, how you will get the people out of the area. Holman went on to state that he and/or North Country Independent Living would be more than happy to talk to them or write a letter with their concerns.

3) Jane Halvorson, Town of Russell. Halvorson asked how many in attendance were here concerning the CFS development by a show of hands? The entire audience raised their hands except for a few.

4) Tom Galazen - 87670 Valley Road, Bayfield. He stated that the citizens of the area in question did not come up to speed on this case until it was passed by the Russell Town Board and Zoning Committee. It has raised concern by many citizens in the Town of Bayfield who spoke at a Bayfield Town Board Meeting. The Bayfield Town Board passed a resolution to table this matter until the Bayfield Town Board has a chance to look at this in further detail. The Red Cliff Tribe has also sent a letter to this effect as well. There are many people here to talk about this topic this evening. He also stated that the County has invested money into a wind site assessment for possible use down the line and went on to explain how detrimental an airport would be to any surrounding landowners regarding the possibility of harnessing wind towers.

5) Russ Klinger, 89005 Compton Rd., Bayfield. He is here this evening to ask that the Board please deny the rezone. He has a 40 acre parcel, 225' from the end of their air strip, the other end is Town of Bayfield. His home is on that 40. He explained that once a plane leaves the ground, they can fly over any part of his 40. He didn't feel that this was really land use in the way that we look at land use. This is a private air strip. The way it is positioned, allows for expansion in either way. He is angry, wants it to remain zoned forestry. It is being rezoned to put in an airstrip. Things are always changing to give the developers what they want. He has pretty much been ignored by the company that wants to develop it. Who is going to speak for the forest and the animals there?

6) Dave Martinsen, Town of Russell. Showed a copy of town of Russell land use plan. They were allowed to believe that this document would be a working document. Neither he nor the board during 7 months of deliberation, took it out of the drawer. He read quotes from the Land Use Plan, which started, "a land use plan does not directly regulate land use...." There seems to be no guide for the Town of Russell residents to follow and the people are left scratching their heads. Logging has already been started for the airstrip. Clearing began long before any permits were issued. Residents are under pressure to turn their homes and the lakeshore into townhomes for the rich. We didn't show up for the earlier meetings when we needed to, but, we did show up for the last few and this evening's meeting. I would like Mr. Good to recues himself from voting. He should be responsible to the Town of Russell residents - clearly he did not do that.

7) Rick Dale, 87080 Valley Road, Town of Bayfield. I have been here for more than 30 years building a farm. I am opposed to the zoning change. He then submitted a letter to the Board from which he read *(a copy is on file in the County Clerk's office)*.

8) Rosalee Coombs, 33465 St Hwy 13, Bayfield. She is an adjacent land owner who is opposed to the zoning change. CFS, LLC wants to make it all commercial except for a small portion of land. It is said that they will bring jobs. What kind and what type of paying jobs will they be? What about tax base? Residents will loose in the long run. The State will come through like a couple of years ago, and the land doubled overnight. She also opposes the air strip. What about single family homes. Not as much money. You are going to trash the area. Let's go back to the drawing board.

9) Mark Windling, Bayfield. He is in opposition to the project as it has been described and the zoning changes to accommodate it. This is conflict as to what the area residents want and

also the Town of Russell Land Use Plan. The plan was to preserve the rural character and protect the natural land resources.

Bayfield County has an obligation to make this comply with the issue. He believes Town of Russell let this slide. The County's practice is to notify adjacent property owners. When you are contemplating a 48 acre strip of land, there would be many concerns well beyond the adjacent owners.

10) Frank Koehn, 1580 Didier Road, Herbster, Town of Clover. He stated it wasn't that many years ago he was one of the Board members remember back as to how business was done. It seem that today, the Board seems to look for a loop hole. It was probably thought that the County Ordinance would never address an issue like the one in front of you this evening. It wasn't so many years ago, that the surrounding land owner had the final say. They worked the land, built their homes and their opinions were listened to. I don't believe that is the case anymore. The County Board doesn't have the luxury of using the Town's recommendation. It is your decision. Many of us own homes and are opposed to rezoning and project.

11) Jeff Solberg, 24500 Cherryville Road, Town of Barksdale. He is a 30 year resident and taxpayer of this area and is not geographically affected by this, however, believes that it affects all Bayfield County residents. He admitted, he didn't see this coming and it seemed to be under the radar. He believes this topic deserves a full public hearing to listen to the people and question the developers. He appreciates that the Board is here to serve the public and the very least you should do is table this resolution or at best, turn it down. He stated that Kacvinsky is his Representative. He stated that Kacvinsky has served him very ably, and he is asking him to lead his County Board in tabling this resolution and to shed some light on it at a future hearing. The Ashland Airport is having problems, if you allow an airstrip, that airstrip is going to mandate what others can do around their own homes which it was zoned for originally. A development of this size and economic time does not sound feasible. Do they want something else? What are their intentions? Do they want more than what they are asking for in the zoning change?

12) Frank Graves, Town of Bayfield. He stated that he is a business owner and is in favor of this project. People think that he is the only one that is in favor of this project but stated that there are just as many for this project as against. This will be a major job creator. Everyone knows that the greater Bayfield area is under employed and we are seeking ways to create year round jobs. This is it. This is a 5 to 10 year project. This is good for our area because it will bring in more tourists. We need to revitalize our main source of income which is tourism. People talk about this airport like it will be O'Hare don't know what they are talking about. This will be for member only, those who own it and operate it. This is a good deal for the people and a good deal for the entire county.

13) Brian Thayer, Ashland. He has owned property on Fire Tower Road since 1981, has owned a business in Bayfield since 1981 and has seen many changes and development since that time. He stated that he is in favor of development just like Frank, but thoughtful development. He respects each and every County Board member for their work and dedication and knows that it is not easy to do this for the Zoning Ordinance. The request from CFS will better developers. What is the compelling benefit for county? They are a real estate land holding company who purchased

the property knowing what the zoning was and now they want it changed to go to their development. It is more important to provide an investment to Bayfield County and its surrounding area.

14.) Ann Bowker, Town of Russell. Many people in Russell township feel this rezone will be detrimental. Much time and energy was put into a survey for the land use plan, and many are greatly in favor of keeping the land the way it is. Town of Russell didn't want to listen, they went along with the rezone because there was nothing illegal being asked. What is right for the people, future of our children and grandchildren? Please vote down this request. If you can't vote it down, at least postpone or table until more research can be done. There is a huge impact on adjacent land owners.

15) Tom Frizzell, 87010 Valley Road, Bayfield. Frizzell read a letter that had been submitted by the Bayfield Chamber of Commerce and made other comments regarding the letter and its contents (*a copy of the letter is on file in the County Clerk's office*). There are plans in the making that will provide an opportunity to hear issues on the development, for instance, having the CEO for CFS, representatives from the DNR and other applicable persons, at one meeting to answers questions that need to be answered regardless whether or not you approve the rezone. A seminar is also being introduced by the Red Cliff Tribe which will bring about more information regarding this issue. Please give this careful thought this evening and hopefully do what we would like you to do, which is to table the matter.

16) Lois Palmer, 1580 Didier Road, Herbster, Town of Clover. Palmer asked of everyone present who here were against the rezone by a show of hands. She asked, Why do we live here? We live here because there is clean air, soil and water. People have homes on Madeline Island, which for many are their 2nd, 3rd and maybe even 4th home. You need a jet airplane to come here to spend how many days and how much money? People will have short term jobs for as long as the development and construction is here. What kind of jobs will be available after development is here. There is no reason to have this type of development here.

17) Karen Kozy, Town of Washburn. She stated that while this development will not directly affect her, it will affect Russ Klinger substantially. Mr. Graves must have forgotten about how close this gentlemen lives to the proposed air strip.

18) Max Carl, 85008 Compton Road. He stated that this rezone will change his lifestyle and the value of his property and is totally against it. This was zoned agriculture and forestry. He has lived by those rules. He urged the County to stick by the rules of zoning, first line and most important line for the citizens, resources we hold in common.

19) Steph Winter, Town of Russell. She read 2 short quotes from "Moby Dick, both which had dramatic meaning.

20) Charley Ray, 3220 Valley road. Washburn. He was present to ask the Board to turn down request for the zoning change on the basis that he believes this really puts the County up for sale to the highest bidder and puts our County regulations right out the window. He gave a scenario of how he and his wife wanted to add on to their home after their 2nd child was born. They had a substandard lot and needed to go before the Variance Committee. After going through all of

the hoops and hurdles they were turned down. He stated this was the procedure and their prerogative. However, he felt that this is a bizarre joke that someone can ask for a change in zoning, but our own residents, cannot add on to their own homes. Perhaps this item should go to the Variance Committee. Please turn down request and keep Bayfield County zoned and orderly developed for the future.

21) Ellen Kwaitkowski, Bayfield. She and her husband own 2 businesses and orchard. It could be said that this would benefit our businesses, however, she stated she is asking the Board to refuse this zoning change. I came here to give a voice to the voiceless. She is concerned about environmental impacts. An airstrip is going to be put in adjacent to the wetlands and the head of Pike's Creek. There will be a lot of runoff. She lives down the hill from the McQue development. This development took down all of the trees, which created much erosion and runoff. Please respect the views of the citizens who have put together the comprehensive plans. This took many hours, hard work and they put their hearts into the process. To develop this process and then have it ignored sends a horrible message to the people.

22) Kathleen Russell, Washburn. Russell stated that she has lived here for 25 years and sells real estate for a living. She has interacted with many citizens of both the Town of Russell and Bayfield. She has served on the Town of Washburn Comprehensive Plan Committee. There are many issues of consistency, fairness and respect for the resource. She stated that she has seen developments which have been done horribly. When she sells property on Compton road, they buyers know what the wetlands are, density of the lands, and adjoining property. If you change zoning, the umbrella opens up and everything changes. The town of Russell has not followed the plan in the ways the people thought they would. You need more time to understand. Please do not set a precedent where it becomes inconsistent.

23) Ted Gephard, 411 Rice Ave., City of Bayfield. He stated he is opposed to the zoning change and developments of this sort. They live, shop and raise their children in Bayfield. His property complies with all of the zoning requirements they have bought. A vote in favor of this tonight makes him a chump and makes it very difficult for him and his children to trust the Board.

24) Nick Settler, 712 Barksdale. He stated he used to live in this type of development. This is inconsistent with what is zoned for this area. Please listen to your constituents as they have spoken clearly.

Chairman Kacvinsky asked 3 more times if anyone else had any comments, there being none, public comment was closed. The Board recessed at 7:30 for a 10 minute break.

The meeting was called back to order at 7:30 p.m.

4. Report of the Bayfield County Planning and Zoning Committee Regarding the Rezone of CFS, LLC Property in the Town of Russell. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Report, which reads as follows:

TO: The County Board of Supervisors of Bayfield County on the hearing of petitions to amend the Bayfield County Zoning Ordinance.

The Planning and Zoning Committee of the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, having held a public hearing pursuant to Section 59.69(5)(e), Wisconsin Statutes; notice thereof having been given as provided by law; and having been duly informed of the facts pertinent to the following changes; hereby recommends the following action on said petition:

The Zoning of CFS. LLC 380-acre parcel ID# (04-046-2-51-04-28-3-02-000-30000; 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-02-000-40000; 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-03-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-02-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-01-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-03-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-04-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-02-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-01-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-03-000-10000; and 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-04-000-10000 located in Section Twenty-Eight (28) and Section Thirty-Three (33), all in Township Fifty-One (51) North, Range Four (4) West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin be changed from Agricultural-One (Ag-1) / Forestry-One (F-1) to Agricultural-One (Ag-1), Residential-Recreational Business (R-RB) and Commercial (C).

Legal Descriptions for the Zoning Districts is as follows:

Agricultural (A-1) Zoning

The South Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 28, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin.

ALSO:

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin, said part being described as follows:

Beginning at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 375.03 feet to said west line;
thence N 00°07'00" E along said west line, 660.09 feet to the northwest corner of said East Half the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E along the north line thereof, 1308.73 feet, more or less, to the north quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence S 00°02'13" E, 150.00 feet along the east line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W, 101.00 feet along a line parallel with the north line of said Northwest Quarter;

thence S 05°43'12" W, 4944.43 feet, more or less, to a point being 150 feet north of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 597 feet west of (as measured at a perpendicular) the south and east lines respectively of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E along a line parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 597.00 feet to the east line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 00°02'13" E along said east line, 150.01 feet to the south quarter corner of said Section 33 and the Point of Beginning.

EXCEPTING therefrom:

Part of the said East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part said East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, said part being described as follows:

Commencing at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet to the Point of Beginning;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 125.00 feet;
thence N 00°07'00" E along a line parallel with said west line East Half of the Northwest Quarter, 510.07 feet to a line 150.00 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and parallel with the north line of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E, 615.87 feet along said parallel line;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 3903.05 feet;
thence S 81°52'48" W, 374.64 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Commercial (C) Zoning

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin, said part being described as follows:

Commencing at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet to the Point of Beginning;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;

thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 125.00 feet;
thence N 00°07'00" E along a line parallel with said west line East Half of the Northwest Quarter, 510.07 feet to a line 150.00 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and parallel with the north line of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E, 615.87 feet along said parallel line;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 3903.05 feet;
thence S 81°52'48" W, 374.64 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Residential (R-RB) Zoning

The Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 28, also the Southwest Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, all being in Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin.

EXCEPTING therefrom:

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 33, said part being described as follows:

Beginning at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;

thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 375.03 feet to said west line;
thence N 00°07'00" E along said west line, 660.09 feet to the northwest corner of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E along the north line thereof, 1308.73 feet, more or less, to the north quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence S 00°02'13" E, 150.00 feet along the east line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W, 101.00 feet along a line parallel with the north line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 4944.43 feet, more or less, to a point being 150 feet north of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 597 feet west of (as measured at a perpendicular) the south and east lines respectively of said Southwest Quarter;

thence S 89°29'32" E along a line parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 597.00 feet to the east line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 00°02'13" E along said east line, 150.01 feet to the south quarter corner of said Section 33 and the Point of Beginning.

Date: August 21, 2008

BAYFIELD COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
James Beeksma, Harold Maki, Kenneth Jardine, Shawn Miller, Brett Rondeau

A motion was made by Blahnik/Beeksma to receive place on file as well as additional letters and information the Board received. Supervisor Good answered to the public on issues that had been raised during public comment, one particular that being to excuse himself from discussion and voting. He went on to state that the Land Use plan has been discussed. He also lives in Town of Russell and is concerned about preserving rural character. He further stated that over 60% of land is preserved in the Town of Russell - the Apostle Islands Lake Shore, County Forest lands, DNR lands and other land that is protected by the Tribe. There were goals in the Land Use Plan that stated there is a need for more residential development. We are one of few towns that have a zoning overlay, we have a component for a 20 acre lot size to build a home. He went over with the public what a zoning overlay has in it. He heard this evening about building condos, an airport and townhomes. All of these structures require different permits which have not yet been asked for. They are asking for a zoning change. They have a plan. Everything you have heard does not mean they will absolutely do everything. **The motion carried.**

5. Bayfield County Zoning Amendatory Ordinance No. 2008-09, Rezone of the CFS, LLC Property in the Town of Russell. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Ordinance, which reads as follows:

The Bayfield County Board of Supervisors ordains as follows:

That the Bayfield County Zoning Ordinance, adopted June 1, 1976, be and the same, is hereby amended as follows:

The Zoning of CFS, LLC 380-acre parcel ID# (04-046-2-51-04-28-3-02-000-30000; 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-02-000-40000; 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-03-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-02-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-01-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-03-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-04-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-02-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-01-000-10000; 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-03-000-10000; and 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-04-000-10000 located in Section Twenty-Eight (28) and Section Thirty-Three (33), all in Township Fifty-One (51) North, Range Four (4) West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin is changed from Agricultural-One (Ag-1) / Forestry-One (F-1) to Agricultural-One (Ag-1), Residential-Recreational Business (R-RB) and Commercial (C).

Legal Descriptions for the Zoning Districts is as follows:

Agricultural (A-1) Zoning

The South Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 28, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin.

ALSO:

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest

Quarter of Section 33, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin, said part being described as follows:

Beginning at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 375.03 feet to said west line;
thence N 00°07'00" E along said west line, 660.09 feet to the northwest corner of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E along the north line thereof, 1308.73 feet, more or less, to the north quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence S 00°02'13" E, 150.00 feet along the east line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W, 101.00 feet along a line parallel with the north line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 4944.43 feet, more or less, to a point being 150 feet north of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 597 feet west of (as measured at a perpendicular) the south and east lines respectively of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E along a line parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 597.00 feet to the east line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 00°02'13" E along said east line, 150.01 feet to the south quarter corner of said Section 33 and the Point of Beginning.

EXCEPTING therefrom:

Part of the said East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part said East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, said part being described as follows:

Commencing at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet to the Point of Beginning;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 125.00 feet;
thence N 00°07'00" E along a line parallel with said west line East Half of the Northwest Quarter, 510.07 feet to a line 150.00 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and parallel with the north line of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;

thence N 89°11'16" E, 615.87 feet along said parallel line;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 3903.05 feet;
thence S 81°52'48" W, 374.64 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Commercial (C) Zoning

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin, said part being described as follows:

Commencing at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet to the Point of Beginning;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 125.00 feet;
thence N 00°07'00" E along a line parallel with said west line East Half of the Northwest Quarter, 510.07 feet to a line 150.00 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and parallel with the north line of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E, 615.87 feet along said parallel line;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 3903.05 feet;
thence S 81°52'48" W, 374.64 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Residential (R-RB) Zoning

The Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 28, also the Southwest Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, all being in Township 51 North, Range 4 West, Town of Russell, Bayfield County, Wisconsin.

EXCEPTING therefrom:

Part of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and part of the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of said Section 33, said part being described as follows:

Beginning at the south quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence N 89°29'32" W along the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 1322.6 feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence N 00°07'00" E, 150.00 feet along the west line of said East Half of the Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E, 70.00 feet parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence N 02°42'07" E, 973.70 feet;
thence N 00°56'54" W, 1561.66 feet;
thence N 08°59'16" E, 1881.16 feet, more or less, to a point being 660 feet south of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 375 feet east of (as measured at a perpendicular) the north line and the west line, respectively, of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;

thence S 89°11'16" W parallel with said north line, 375.03 feet to said west line;
thence N 00°07'00" E along said west line, 660.09 feet to the northwest corner of said East Half of the Northwest Quarter;
thence N 89°11'16" E along the north line thereof, 1308.73 feet, more or less, to the north quarter corner of said Section 33;
thence S 00°02'13" E, 150.00 feet along the east line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 89°11'16" W, 101.00 feet along a line parallel with the north line of said Northwest Quarter;
thence S 05°43'12" W, 4944.43 feet, more or less, to a point being 150 feet north of (as measured at a perpendicular) and 597 feet west of (as measured at a perpendicular) the south and east lines respectively of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 89°29'32" E along a line parallel with the south line of said Southwest Quarter, 597.00 feet to the east line of said Southwest Quarter;
thence S 00°02'13" E along said east line, 150.01 feet to the south quarter corner of said Section 33 and the Point of Beginning.

Dated: September 30, 2008

By Action of the

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Attested to by:

Scott S. Fibert, Bayfield County Clerk

A motion was made by Miller/Jardine to adopt Bayfield County Zoning Amendatory Ordinance No. 2008-09. A very lengthy discussion took place. Supervisor Good explained the process. Zoning Administrator Karl Kastrosky, was asked to explain the process of a rezone to the Board and public. Chairman Kacvinsky asked the Board to suspend the rules for Kastrosky to speak by a voice vote. The Board was unanimous to allow him to speak.

Kastrosky explained the zoning process zoning prior to the County Board receiving the documents. He stated that his office is responsible for legal notices, public hearings, etc. He does not have a vote at the Committee level. This started as a development process and for this process, a rezone was necessary.

Kastrosky explained the process if the zoning request is approved and if it is denied. If approved, there are permits that are still needed and more hearings, etc. If denied and is appealed, it does not come back to the County Board but would go to Circuit Court.

Supervisor Crandall asked Kastrosky to explain "spot zoning." Supervisor Blahnik stated that Bayfield County citizens who have concerns and he himself has received many phone calls. The message needs to get out to the people on how serious the subject matter is. The people should be heard and we need to listen to everyone. The people need to have a chance to air their concerns and then after this is done we can vote. He felt the Board should postpone the matter.

Supervisor Gordon sent a letter requesting postponement. We need to listen to the people on the street. Encourage the people to vote not and to table the matter but no motion was made. There is concern. He stated he met with developer on different occasions, he didn't see anything terribly wrong until you hear and see the people with opposition. We need to come back to the table with dialogue.

Supervisor Gordon asked for a roll call vote: *Bichanich-no; Miller-yes; Crandall-no; Rondeau-yes; Good-yes; Gordon-no; Jardine-yes; Maki-no; Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-no; Kacvinsky-yes; Beeksma-yes; Williams-yes. Total: 13, 7 yes, 5 no, 1 absent. The motion carried.*

The Board recessed at 7:50 for 10 minutes.

Chairman Kacvinsky asked the Board, if after reviewing the following Resolution, they were in agreement to make one motion to adopt all of the Land Conservations Resolutions together. The Board was in agreement.

6. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-37, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J142. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J142; and

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant; and

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the Cost Share Cooperative Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors assembled this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect Comprehensive Planning Grant revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43274-104 by: \$5,000

Increase expense account #100-28-56132-50290 by: \$5,000

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

7. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-38, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of DNR-Fish Passage Grant. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded a DNR - Fish Passage Grant;

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the Grant;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, assembled this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect DNR - Fish Passage Grant revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43590-104 by: \$25,000

Increase expense account #100-28-56131-50290 by: \$25,000

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

8. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-39, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of WI DNR Controlling Runoff & Erosion Guidebook Grant. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded a WI DNR - Controlling Runoff & Erosion Guidebook Grant (SPL-164-08);

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the WI DNR - Controlling Runoff & Erosion Guidebook Grant (SPL-164-08);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors assembled this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect Comprehensive Planning Grant revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43590-103 by: \$3,000.00

Increase expense account #100-28-56207-50290 by: \$3,000.00

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

9. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-42, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J141B. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J141B;

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the Cost Share Cooperative Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, meeting this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect Cost Share Cooperative Agreement revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43274-106 by: \$7,500

Increase expense account #100-28-56134-50290 by: \$7,500

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

10. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-43, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J180. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J180;

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the Cost Share Cooperative Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, meeting this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect Cost Share Cooperative Agreement revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43274-105 by: \$40,000

Increase expense account #100-28-56133-50290 by: \$40,000

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

11. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-44, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget for Award of Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J182. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded Cost Share Cooperative Agreement No. 301818-J182;

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the Cost Share Cooperative Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, meeting this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect Comprehensive Planning Grant revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43274-107 by: \$37,775.00

Increase expense account #100-28-56135-50290 by: \$37,775.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

12. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-45, Request to Amend 2008 Land & Water Conservation Department Budget. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, Bayfield County applied for and has been awarded a DNR - CREP Buffer Program Grant;

WHEREAS, the FY2008 budget was developed prior to the award of this grant

WHEREAS, the Bayfield County Land & Water Conservation Department requests that their 2008 budget be adjusted as follows to reflect the revenue and expenditures anticipated to be received/expended through the DNR - CREP Buffer Program Grant;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors, meeting this 30th day of September, 2008 approve the following budget amendments to reflect DNR - Fish Passage Grant revenues and expenditures:

Increase revenue account #100-28-43590-105 by: \$50,000

Increase expense account #100-28-56136-50290 by: \$50,000

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds not expended in 2008 shall be carried over into FY2009.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

Discussion took place on why so many resolutions amending budget. It was explained that the Land Conservation Department didn't realize they had not yet been approved through Resolution even though the funds have been received. This is to clear up the paperwork.

A motion was made by Bichanich/Rondeau to adopt Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-37, 2008-38, 2008-39, 2008-42, 2008-43, 2008-44 and 2008-45. Discussion took place on why so many resolutions amending budget. It was explained that the Land Conservation

Department didn't realize they had not yet been approved through Resolution even though the funds have been received. This is to clear up the paperwork.

A roll call vote was taken as follows: Miller-yes; Crandall-yes; Rondeau-yes; Good-yes; Gordon-yes; Jardine-yes; Maki-yes; Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-yes; Kacvinsky-yes; Beeksma-yes; Williams-yes; Bichanich-yes. Total: 13, 12 yes, 0 no, 1 absent. The motion carried.

13. Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Amendment to Bayfield County Forests Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Chapter 700, Section 702.01 to Include Additional Miles of Primary County Forest Roads. Discussion took place regarding this request that we are restricting people from using the forest roads. We have many conservancy areas now which prohibit motorized vehicles and it is inconsiderate of those individuals who cannot walk the distance and need the aid of 4-wheelers, etc. It was suggested that we not approve and bring this back to the County Board next month when there is more information.

A motion was made by Gordon/Bichanich to postpone the Amendment to Bayfield County Forests Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Chapter 700, Section 702.01 to Include Additional Miles of Primary County Forest Roads. The motion carried.

14. Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-40, Assigning the Aging and Disability Services Advisory Committee to Assume the Role of the Long Term Support Planning Committee. Elizabeth Skulan, Human Services Director, was present to explain that this Resolution is in front of the Board at the State's request. The original Resolution which was passed in 1999 was misplaced and cannot be found and therefore, the State needs a new resolution in its place. The Board dispensed with the reading of the Resolution, which reads as follows:

WHEREAS, the responsibilities of the Interagency Long Term Support Planning Committee include: development and approval of the county Community Options Plan and annual Community Options Plan Update; regular monitoring of the implementation and operation of the county's Community Option Program; development of the Uniform Eligibility and Cost-Sharing Plan; implementation of Community Options statutory responsibilities for persons with Alzheimer's disease; planning for use of federal Medicaid care management fund; development of plans and requirements for quality assurance; annual review and approval of interagency agreements; annual review and approval or denial of requests for variances to service limitations; and annual review of reasons for denial of services, appeals as or grievances and any remedial actions taken as a result of grievances; and

WHEREAS, the state of Wisconsin requires county board action assigning the Aging and Disability Services Advisory Committee to assume the role of the Interagency Long Term Support Planning Committee; and

WHEREAS, the Interagency Long Term Support Planning Committee in Bayfield is the Aging and Disability Services Advisory Committee;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Bayfield County Board of Supervisors assembled this 30th day of September, hereby authorizes the Aging and Disability Services Advisory Committee of the Department of Human Services to assume the role of the Interagency Long Term Support Planning Committee.

BAYFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

William D. Kacvinsky, John J. Blahnik, David L. Good, Thomas J. Gordon, Kenneth Jardine,
Harold A. Maki, Shawn Miller, James A. Crandall, James Beeksma, Wayne H. Williams,
Marco T. Bichanich, Brett T. Rondeau

A motion was made by Gordon/Crandall to adopt Bayfield County Resolution No. 2008-40, Assigning the Aging and Disability Services Advisory Committee to Assume the Role of the Long Term Support Planning Committee. The motion carried.

15. Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Lower Level Space, Accessibility and Potential Improvements, Possibly New Elevator and Authorization of up to \$10,000 for Study and Cost Proposal. Elizabeth Skulan, Human Services Director, and Carrie Linder, Human Services Aging & Disability Services Manager, gave a power point presentation regarding the lower spaces of the courthouse building, the elevators, accessibility to the lower level and possible improvements that can or perhaps need to be made. Abeles-Allison, County Administrator, spoke on the discussion that took place about 2 years ago concerning an alternative elevator by the Circuit Court doors. Discussion took place on the elevator lift by the District Attorney's office as well. Supervisor Blahnik stated that the County had plans for putting in a new elevator when we were putting on the courtroom addition. He stated he didn't want to spend another \$10,000 on a study - it has already been done. Supervisor Rondeau stated that we need a technical advisor to advise us on what needs to be done. The courtroom renovation project had plans for an elevator, however, we didn't do soil borings. Supervisor Jardine disagreed, stating that we need to find someone who knows what they are doing and in order to do that, we will need to spend some dollars. Supervisor Maki discussed the possibility of using the stairwell and have a parking ramp elsewhere.

A motion was made by Gordon/Williams to approve up to \$10,000 for a study and cost proposal regarding the lower level accessibility and potential improvements and possible new elevator. Further discussion took place on why a new elevator was not put in the new courtroom addition plans in the first place - the cost was approximately \$450,000. The State is not putting any money towards the infrastructure of the ADRC. Staff need to meet with their clients and in some cases have had to meet with them in their cars. A very lengthy discussion took place on what the \$10,000 would be used for. A roll call vote was taken as follows: Crandall-yes; Rondeau-yes; Good-yes; Gordon-yes; Jardine-yes; Maki-yes; Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-no; Kacvinsky-yes; Beeksma-no; Williams-yes; Bichanich-yes; Miller-no. Total: 13, 9 yes, 3 no, 1 absent. The motion carried.

16. A motion was made by Crandall/Good to move into Executive Session pursuant to §19.85(1),(c) considering employment, promotion, compensation or performance evaluation data of any public employee over which the governmental body has jurisdiction or exercises responsibility. Roll call: Rondeau-yes; Good-yes; Gordon-yes; Jardine-yes; Maki-yes;

Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-yes; Kacvinsky-yes; Beeksma-yes; Williams-yes; Bichanich-yes; Miller-yes; Crandall-yes. Total: 13, 12 yes, 0 no, 1 absent. The motion carried.

17. *A motion was made by Beeksma/Williams to move out of Executive Session pursuant to §19.85(1),(c) considering employment, promotion, compensation or performance evaluation data of any public employee over which the governmental body has jurisdiction or exercises responsibility. Roll call: Good-yes; Gordon-yes; Jardine-yes; Maki-yes; Kittleson-absent; Blahnik-yes; Kacvinsky-yes; Beeksma-yes; Williams-yes; Bichanich-yes; Miller-yes; Crandall-yes; Rondeau-yes. Total: 13, 12 yes, 0 no, 1 absent. The motion carried.*

18. **Administrator's Report:**

a) Forestry Department Update on Plum Creek Land Acquisition. The Committee discussed the land acquisition fund dollars. Discussion took place on having the land acquisition dollars go back to the contingency fund until the amount of money paid for the Plum Creek property is paid back in full. This will take approximately 3 years. It was the consensus of the Board to make this recommendation to the Forestry Committee and to come back to the Board with a decision to clear up this matter.

b) Update on Land Auction. The Land Auction was successful in making approximately \$110,000. Shuga did an excellent job. The only one parcel that did not sell was the old gas station on Hwy 63. A brief discussion was had on the parcels that did sell.

c) 2009 Budget Update. The Executive Committee agreed to send the proposed budget to the County Board for approval at its October monthly meeting.

d) Ag Station Update. The Committee approved a November 14th deadline for proposals, however, they would like to push back this date to mid-January for more time. This is a very hot topic and we need a good proposal.

19. **Supervisors' Reports:** A brief discussion on what can be done in regard to Barb Hoekstra of the Bayfield County Quilt Shop. The Tourism Committee has been in contact with her to try to make the situation accommodating for all concerned.

There being no further business, *a motion was made by Rondeau/Miller to adjourn. The motion carried*, and the meeting adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott S. Fibert,
Bayfield County Clerk
SSF/dmb